

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,417	02/01/2001	Sueng-il Nam	GB 000019	7406	
24737	7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INT	TELLECTUAL PROF	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2631		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/773,417	NAM, SUENG-IL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kevin M. Burd	2631		

	Revin IVI. Burg	2031	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	มา SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		KST REPLT WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t TE below);	oecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states Chow does not disclose transmitting a control signal to counter the effects of channel distortion on the transmitted signal. Applicant further states Chow discloses information containing predistortion requirements but this information is not a control signal. The examiner disagrees. The information in the signal contains predistortion requirements that are utilized in updating correction coefficients of particular look-up tables associated with various output power levels of amplifier 413 (column 13, lines 21-47). The look-up tables control the predistorter 146 in figure 1A. Therefore, the signal transmitted in the reverse link containing information to control the predistorter is the control signal.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Chow in to the transmitter of Karam. Chow discloses a method of compensating for additional distortion than just the distortion created from the power

Art Unit: 2631

amplifier. Distortion cause by the transmitted signal, the channel, input power modulation type, data encoding and aging components are also compensated (column 12, lines 41-54).

For these reasons and the reasons stated in the previous office action, the rejections of the claims are maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Kevin M. Burd 6/27/2005

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER